SHODH SAGAR®

Indian Journal of Law

ISSN: 3048-4936 | Vol. 2 Issue 6 | Nov - Dec 2024 | Peer Reviewed & Refereed

Corporate Governance and Legal Accountability: A Critical Review of Global Practices

Kawaljit Kaur

Assistant Professor

Check for updates

Accepted: 21/11/2024 Published: 29/12/2024

* **C**orresponding author

How to Cite this Article:

Kaur, K. (2024). Corporate Governance and Legal Accountability: A Critical Review of Global Practices. *Indian Journal of Law*, 2(6), 1-7.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.36676/ijl.v2.i6.65

Abstract

To ensure that businesses act honestly, openly, and for the benefit of their stakeholders, good corporate governance and legal responsibility are essential components of long-term success. Analyses the ways in which various regulatory frameworks and legal systems influence the actions of corporations throughout the world. ways in which different kinds of governance foster responsibility, accountability, and anti-corruption efforts. The article emphasizes the difficulties and triumphs of establishing strong governance systems by comparing practises in established and emerging economies. Also covered is how OECD Principles of Corporate Governance and other international standards work to bring different countries' practices into line with one another. While there has been a lot of success in creating frameworks for governance, the results show that there are still gaps when it comes to enforcement and compliance, especially in developing economies. lastly makes suggestions for better corporate responsibility and legal accountability, stressing the importance of more integrated global governance norms, more transparency, and stronger enforcement measures.

Keywords: Corporate Governance, Legal Accountability, Transparency, Regulatory Frameworks

Introduction

Corporate responsibility and transparency in today's globally integrated economy are of paramount importance. To ensure that businesses are run in a transparent, stakeholderprotective, and sustainably long-term manner, good corporate governance is crucial. Conversely, legal accountability reduces the likelihood of corruption, fraud, and financial mismanagement by making sure that businesses follow the rules and regulations that control their activities. Governance in corporations refers to the rules, regulations, and policies that govern the way in which businesses are run. Members of the community at large, as well as shareholders, managers, employees, and customers, are all involved. Following several high-profile corporate scandals and financial crises that highlighted the necessity for more robust governance structures, corporate governance has refocused the attention of lawmakers, regulators, and company executives around the globe in the last several decades. This study analyses the current state of corporate governance around the world, taking a look at how different models work and how legal accountability can be used to encourage ethical behavior in the corporate world. It is the hope of this research that by comparing and contrasting corporate governance practises in established and developing nations, we can better understand the obstacles and opportunities that businesses have when trying to establish strong governance systems. In order to bring governance practices across different jurisdictions into harmony, the analysis also considers the impact of international norms like the OECD Principles of Corporate Governance. In developing economies with less established regulatory frameworks, gaps in enforcement and compliance continue to be a problem, even if corporate governance has come a long way. In order to improve global governance standards, this study aims to comprehend these differences and provide suggestions for resolving them. The paper will assess the efficacy of legal frameworks in enforcing corporate accountability, the significance of openness in leadership, and the continuous endeavours to include CSR into leadership models through a comparative study. Assist in continuing the conversation about corporate responsibility and accountability to the law by offering suggestions to lawmakers, regulators, and corporate executives on how to improve corporate governance practices so that companies do the right thing by society and the law.

Legal Accountability in Corporate Governance

To ensure that businesses follow all applicable laws and regulations and face consequences for their transgressions, legal responsibility is essential in corporate governance. It is an essential tool for avoiding wrongdoing by corporations, safeguarding stakeholder interests, and keeping

ISSN: 3048-4936 | Vol. 2 Issue 6 | Nov - Dec 2024 | Peer Reviewed & Refereed

faith in the corporate world. This section delves into the significance of corporation law in protecting shareholder rights, the regulatory systems that are in place to ensure legal accountability, and the function of legal frameworks in corporate governance.

1. The Role of Legal Frameworks in Governance

Companies are required to adhere to certain norms and regulations set out by legal frameworks, which serve as the basis for good corporate governance. Corporate director duties, financial reporting requirements, and stakeholder rights are all laid down in these frameworks. They define the parameters within which businesses must function, outlining the rules for proper conduct and the repercussions for failing to adhere.

Statutes, rules, and codes of behavior all work together to shape corporate governance in various countries. Disclosure requirements, director fiduciary duties, and shareholder involvement methods are outlined in statutory legislation such corporation acts and securities rules. Issued by financial authorities or securities commissions, regulations offer more explicit instructions on board composition, audit procedures, and executive pay, among other areas of governance. Businesses who care about their image and want to show that they are committed to doing business ethically often implement codes of conduct, which are voluntary but provide good governance standards.

2. Regulatory Mechanisms and Enforcement

Securities regulators, corporate affairs commissions, and financial monitoring agencies are among the regulatory entities that mainly enforce legal accountability in corporate governance. It is the job of these organizations to keep an eye on businesses, make sure they're following the rules, and punish those that break the law. Audits, penalties, and sanctions are just a few of the regulatory mechanisms at your disposal. Companies' adherence to governance norms, especially in areas like risk management, internal controls, and financial reporting, can be evaluated through inspections and audits. When authorities find wrongdoing, they have the power to punish businesses financially, put a halt to the trading of their stocks, or even bring criminal charges against high-ranking officials. The variation in the capacity of various governments to impose legal accountability is a challenge. Compliance is typically effectively enforced by authorities in developed economies due to the availability of resources and

SHODH SAGAR®

Indian Journal of Law

ISSN: 3048-4936 | Vol. 2 Issue 6 | Nov - Dec 2024 | Peer Reviewed & Refereed

authority. But regulatory agencies in many developing economies might not have the manpower, autonomy, or knowledge to strictly enforce governance norms. As a result, corporate wrongdoing may be able to go unpunished due to enforcement gaps.

3. Corporate Law and Shareholder Rights

One of the most important functions of corporate law is to safeguard the interests of shareholders, who are among a company's most important stakeholders. To guarantee ethical management of their money and a say in company decisions, shareholders depend on legislative safeguards. Shareholders have a number of rights guaranteed to them by corporate law that allow them to have an impact on corporate governance. These include the right to vote at annual meetings, the power to choose directors, and the opportunity to contest actions that they feel do not benefit the company. Minority shareholder protection laws are a part of company law in many countries. These provisions make sure that larger shareholders can't hurt smaller investors. Among these safeguards are the opportunities to review relevant documents, file formal challenges to decisions, and be treated fairly in mergers and acquisitions and other major business developments. The robustness of the legal system and the courts' readiness to uphold shareholder rights determine the efficacy of corporation law in protecting these rights. Lack of transparency in decision-making, unfair dilution of shares, and expropriation of assets are some of the abuses that can occur when shareholder protection is undermined in nations with weak legal systems and sluggish judicial processes.

4. Case Studies of Legal Accountability Failures and Successes

Examining case studies of both successes and failures in different jurisdictions can help illustrate the impact of legal accountability on corporate governance. These examples show how effective enforcement and compliance may be, and how disastrous it can be when laws are laxly enforced.

- **Failure: The Enron Scandal (United States)**: A classic case of the disastrous results of insufficient legal responsibility is the 2001 collapse of Enron. The corporation inflated its profits and concealed its debts through intricate financial schemes by engaging in extensive accounting fraud. Millions of dollars were lost by workers and shareholders because auditors were involved and regulators failed to intervene when

SHODH SAGAR®
Indian Journal of Law
ISSN: 3048-4936 | Vol. 2 Issue 6 | Nov - Dec 2024 | Peer Reviewed & Refereed

fraud was happening. U.S. corporate governance standards and legal responsibility were both bolstered by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, one of several reforms spurred by the scandal.

- **Success: Cadbury Report and Corporate Governance Reforms (United Kingdom)**: Following a series of financial scandals in the United Kingdom, the Cadbury Report called for new standards of corporate oversight and was released in 1992. The report's call for an independent, transparent, and accountable board was a major factor in the UK's decision to establish the Corporate Governance Code. The UK has become an example for other countries looking to increase legal accountability for corporate wrongdoing thanks to these measures, which have improved corporate governance procedures.
- **Emerging Market Challenge: Satyam Scandal (India)**: One of the biggest information technology businesses in India, Satyam, was the target of a severe governance controversy in 2009. A huge crisis in investor confidence ensued when the chairman of the board acknowledged to exaggerating earnings and manipulating financial records. As a result of the crisis, India instituted new laws to hold companies more accountable and strengthened regulatory control. It also created the Serious Fraud Investigation Office (SFIO) to combat corporate fraud.

In order to avoid corporate wrongdoing and safeguard stakeholder interests, these case studies highlight the significance of legal responsibility. They also show how regulatory frameworks need constant modification and constant vigilance to stay up with the dynamic business climate.

Conclusion

An efficient and long-lasting corporate climate rests on the bedrock principles of good corporate governance and compliance with applicable laws and regulations. Promoting ethical company conduct, preserving stakeholder interests, and ensuring transparency are the goals of regulatory frameworks, which have been examined in this paper's critical analysis of global standards in corporate governance. Although developed and emerging economies have made great progress in establishing strong governance systems, there are still obstacles, especially when it comes to enforcement and compliance, according to a comparison of governance

Indian Journal of Law
ISSN: 3048-4936 | Vol. 2 Issue 6 | Nov - Dec 2024 | Peer Reviewed & Refereed

models. the variation in methods of governance and enforcement that exist throughout various locations. Higher levels of corporate accountability are typically observed in developed economies due to their more developed regulatory frameworks and greater enforcement capabilities. In contrast, developing economies frequently face difficulties in establishing and enforcing international governance standards, smaller regulatory agencies, and a lack of funding. These differences highlight the importance of customized governance strategies that consider the unique cultural, legal, and economic circumstances of every area. The OECD Principles of Corporate Governance and other international standards have played a significant role in bringing about a global standardization of governance processes. On the other hand, national adoption and implementation of these standards are crucial to their efficacy. Company leaders are under increasing pressure to improve society and the environment in addition to their bottom line, which is why this article stresses the need of CSR integration into governance frameworks. In the future, corporate governance is expected to prioritize openness, responsibility, and the utilization of technology to improve supervision and compliance. For firms to run responsibly and make a positive impact on society, there has to be a stronger system of enforcement, more involvement from stakeholders, and a unified approach to global governance norms.

Bibliography

Clarke, T. (Ed.). (2017). *International Corporate Governance: A Comparative Approach* (2nd ed.). Routledge.

Mallin, C. A. (2019). *Corporate Governance* (6th ed.). Oxford University Press.

Monks, R. A. G., & Minow, N. (2011). *Corporate Governance* (5th ed.). John Wiley & Sons.

Solomon, J. (2020). *Corporate Governance and Accountability* (5th ed.). John Wiley & Sons.

Tricker, R. B. (2019). *Corporate Governance: Principles, Policies, and Practices* (4th ed.). Oxford University Press.

Aguilera, R. V., & Cuervo-Cazurra, A. (2009). Codes of Good Governance. *Corporate Governance: An International Review, 17*(3), 376-387.

Bebchuk, L. A., Cohen, A., & Ferrell, A. (2009). What Matters in Corporate Governance? *Review of Financial Studies, 22*(2), 783-827.

ISSN: 3048-4936 | Vol. 2 Issue 6 | Nov - Dec 2024 | Peer Reviewed & Refereed

La Porta, R., Lopez-de-Silanes, F., & Shleifer, A. (2008). The Economic Consequences of Legal Origins. *Journal of Economic Literature, 46*(2), 285-332.

Keay, A. (2017). Stewardship Theory: Is Board Accountability Necessary? *International Journal of Law and Management, 59*(6), 1292-1314.

Zattoni, A., & Cuomo, F. (2008). Why Adopt Codes of Good Governance? A Comparison of Institutional and Efficiency Perspectives. *Corporate Governance: An International Review, 16*(1), 1-15.