Indian Journal of Law
ISSN: 3048-4936 | Vol. 3 Issue 3 | May– Jun 2025 | Peer Reviewed & Refereed

DIGITAL DOMINATION OR OPEN ACCESS? STRATEGIC IMPERATIVES FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS IN THE INFORMATION AGE

Dr Renu Sheokand
renusheokand26@gmail.com

DOI: https://doi.org/10.36676/ijl.v3.i3.93

Accepted 22/05/2025 Published:02/06/2025 * Corresponding author
How to Cite this Article:

Malik, P. (2025). DIGITAL DOMINATION OR OPEN ACCESS? STRATEGIC IMPERATIVES FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS IN THE INFORMATION AGE. *Indian Journal of Law, 3(3), 1-10*.

Abstract

As the global economy undergoes a transformative shift driven by digital technologies, the significance of Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs) has broadened beyond traditional frameworks. IPRs are now central to enabling innovation, securing creative output, and fostering sustainable economic development in a landscape where data, algorithms, and digital content are primary assets. This paper investigates the critical role that IPRs play in protecting the interests of innovators and businesses amid the fast-paced evolution of the digital economy.

The study delves into the implications of emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI), blockchain, big data analytics, and non-fungible tokens (NFTs), all of which challenge conventional legal definitions of ownership, authorship, and enforcement. It examines how these technologies both disrupt existing IP systems and offer novel mechanisms for rights management and infringement prevention.

Furthermore, the paper highlights the urgent need for legislative reforms, harmonized international policies, and tech-enabled enforcement strategies to address cross-border IP violations and to foster equitable access to digital resources. Through a comprehensive analysis of existing legal models and global best practices, the paper emphasizes the importance of developing a flexible and forward-looking IPR regime.

Ultimately, the findings underscore that in order to achieve a balance between protecting creators and encouraging public access to knowledge and innovation, intellectual property systems must evolve in tandem with the technologies they aim to govern. A dynamic and adaptive IPR framework is not merely a legal instrument—it is a strategic cornerstone for digital competitiveness, cultural preservation, and inclusive economic progress in the 21st century.

1. Introduction

The emergence of the digital economy has fundamentally reshaped the global economic landscape. Characterized by the widespread integration of digital technologies such as the internet, cloud computing, artificial intelligence (AI), and big data analytics, this new paradigm has transformed the way products are developed, services are delivered, and information is exchanged. In this evolving environment, intangible assets —including algorithms, digital content, software, data, and branding— have become the most valuable components of business operations and national economies.

At the core of this transformation lies Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs) , a legal framework designed to protect the creations of the human mind and to incentivize innovation and creativity. IPRs encompass copyrights, patents, trademarks, trade secrets, and emerging protections such as digital rights management. These rights provide creators and inventors with legal ownership and exclusive usage rights, allowing them to monetize their work, attract investment, and maintain a competitive edge in a rapidly evolving market.

However, as the digital economy accelerates, traditional IPR systems face unprecedented challenges . The very nature of digital content—being easily replicable, distributable, and modifiable—renders conventional enforcement mechanisms less effective. Moreover, new digital products and services often blur the lines between existing IPR categories, creating legal ambiguities and enforcement gaps . Jurisdictional conflicts, piracy, data breaches, and the global scale of digital commerce further complicate the management and protection of intellectual property.

This research paper aims to explore the dynamic relationship between IPRs and the digital economy , analyzing how IPRs contribute to economic growth, innovation, and competitiveness while also highlighting the challenges posed by technological disruption. The paper will evaluate how legal and institutional frameworks must evolve to support a balanced system—one that both protects intellectual assets and fosters an open, accessible, and innovative digital environment. Ultimately, the study emphasizes the urgent need for modernized IPR strategies , international cooperation, and digital enforcement tools to ensure that intellectual property continues to drive progress in the digital age.

2. Understanding Intellectual Property Rights

2.1 Types of Intellectual Property

Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs) refer to a broad category of legal protections that safeguard the creations of the mind. These rights allow creators, inventors, and businesses to control and benefit from the use of their intellectual assets. The major types of IPRs include:

Copyrights

Copyrights provide protection for original works of authorship, including literary, artistic, musical, and digital content such as websites, software code, videos, and social media posts. This right typically lasts for the lifetime of the author plus an additional 50 to 70 years, depending on the jurisdiction. In the digital economy, where content creation and dissemination are instantaneous and global, copyright protection is especially crucial to ensure that creators are acknowledged and compensated for their work.

Patents

Patents grant inventors the exclusive right to use, manufacture, and sell their inventions for a specific period, generally 20 years from the date of filing. Patents cover novel, useful, and non-obvious inventions, including technological solutions, pharmaceuticals, and increasingly, software innovations and algorithms. In the digital era, patents are vital to safeguarding technological breakthroughs and securing returns on investment in research and development (R\&D).

Trademarks

Trademarks protect symbols, names, logos, slogans, and other identifiers that distinguish a company's goods or services from those of competitors. They serve as powerful branding tools in the digital space, where market visibility and brand recognition significantly influence consumer choices. Trademarks

are essential for e-commerce platforms, digital advertising, and mobile applications in maintaining trust and identity.

Trade Secrets

Trade secrets include confidential business information such as formulas, practices, designs, and processes that provide a competitive edge. Unlike patents or copyrights, trade secrets are not registered but are protected through secrecy and legal agreements. In a digital context, cybersecurity and digital infrastructure are key to preventing unauthorized access to such information.

These categories often overlap in practice, particularly in digital products where a single innovation—such as a smartphone app—might be protected simultaneously under copyright (code and interface design), patent (functionality), trademark (logo), and trade secret (algorithm or business model).

2.2 Importance in a Digital World

In the contemporary digital economy, value is increasingly derived from intangible assets rather than physical goods. Digital platforms, cloud services, e-commerce, artificial intelligence, and mobile applications are built upon layers of intellectual property. Protecting these assets is essential to fostering innovation, attracting investment, and maintaining market competitiveness.

For instance, a social media company depends on copyright laws to protect its user interface and content, trademark laws to defend its brand identity, and trade secrets to safeguard its algorithms and user data management practices. Similarly, software developers rely on copyright to prevent unauthorized duplication and on patents to secure novel features or processes.

Moreover, intellectual property rights serve as critical enablers of digital entrepreneurship. They allow startups and creators to monetize their innovations through licensing, franchising, or strategic partnerships. In global markets, companies with strong IPR portfolios are better positioned to scale operations, attract funding, and negotiate mergers or acquisitions.

However, the rapid pace of digital innovation presents challenges to traditional IPR frameworks. The ease of duplicating and sharing digital content, the borderless nature of the internet, and the rise of open-source models and user-generated content necessitate more flexible and responsive IPR policies.

In summary, in a world where knowledge and creativity are the primary economic drivers, the effective use and protection of intellectual property rights are fundamental to both individual success and national economic development.

3. IPRs and Economic Development

Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs) serve as a cornerstone of economic growth in the 21st-century knowledge-based economy. By safeguarding creations of the mind—such as inventions, artistic works, software, and brand identity—IPRs not only provide legal recognition to creators but also act as critical drivers of innovation, investment, and economic transformation. The digital economy, in particular, amplifies the importance of IPRs, as intangible assets often hold more value than physical ones.

3.1 Stimulate Innovation

IPRs provide a legal framework that grants innovators exclusive rights over the commercial use of their creations for a specified period. This exclusivity acts as a powerful incentive for individuals, companies, and research institutions to invest in Research and Development (R\&D). For example, patents ensure that inventors can recoup the cost of their innovations without immediate fear of imitation, encouraging long-term investment in technological advancement. In the digital space, copyright protection fosters the growth of content industries like film, gaming, music, and publishing by ensuring creators maintain control over distribution and monetization.

3.2 Enhance Market Competitiveness

In an increasingly globalized economy, competitive advantage is often determined by intellectual capital rather than physical infrastructure. IPRs empower firms to protect their proprietary knowledge, software, algorithms, and brands, enabling them to differentiate themselves in crowded markets. Trademarks, for instance, help consumers identify trusted products and services, building brand equity and customer loyalty. This ability to stand out drives competition based not just on price but on quality, innovation, and unique offerings.

3.3 Encourage Foreign Direct Investment (FDI)

A robust IPR regime signals a safe and predictable business environment, which is crucial for attracting Foreign Direct Investment (FDI), particularly in high-tech sectors such as pharmaceuticals, software, and electronics. Multinational corporations are more likely to invest in countries where their intellectual assets—like patented technologies or branded goods—are legally protected from infringement or counterfeiting. Empirical studies have shown that nations with strong IPR protections often see increased technology transfer, innovation inflows, and integration into global value chains.

3.4 Support SMEs and Startups

Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) and startups play a vital role in economic development, particularly in job creation and innovation. However, their limited resources make them vulnerable to imitation and unfair competition. IPRs provide a mechanism for these firms to protect their innovations and establish credibility with investors, partners, and consumers. For startups, a strong IP portfolio can significantly boost valuation, facilitate access to venture capital, and open doors to strategic collaborations or licensing agreements. In the digital economy, where many startups revolve around software, digital services, and apps, IP becomes an indispensable asset for sustainable growth.

4. Challenges in the Digital Economy

While Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs) are vital to fostering innovation and economic growth, the transition to a digital economy introduces a range of complex challenges. These challenges stem from the rapid pace of technological innovation, the global nature of digital markets, and the growing demand for open access to information. This section examines key obstacles that complicate the enforcement, scope, and adaptability of IPRs in the digital age.

4.1 Digital Piracy and Infringement

One of the most pressing challenges in the digital realm is the widespread incidence of piracy and unauthorized distribution of intellectual property. With the proliferation of high-speed internet and peer-to-peer file-sharing platforms, copyrighted material such as music, films, eBooks, and software can be easily copied, altered, and disseminated on a global scale—often anonymously and without consent from rights holders.

Despite technological measures like Digital Rights Management (DRM) and watermarking, infringers continue to exploit loopholes and share protected content across unauthorized websites and torrent networks. This not only results in substantial financial losses for creators and industries (e.g., the music and film sectors) but also undermines the perceived value of original content. Moreover, the anonymity and decentralization of many digital platforms make it difficult for authorities to trace violators and implement legal remedies.

4.2 Cross-border Enforcement

The inherently global nature of the internet creates serious jurisdictional challenges for the enforcement of IPRs. While intellectual property laws are typically territorial—meaning they are enforceable only within the boundaries of a particular country—digital content flows seamlessly across borders without

regard for national legal systems. This creates enforcement vacuums where infringing material hosted in one country may be accessed and consumed globally with minimal regulatory oversight.

For instance, a website based in one country may legally host content that would be considered pirated or infringing in another. This fragmentation in legal regimes complicates litigation and enforcement, as it often involves lengthy and expensive international legal processes. Additionally, many developing countries lack the institutional capacity or resources to enforce IPRs effectively, further exacerbating the issue.

4.3 Emerging Technologies

Rapidly evolving technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI), blockchain, and non-fungible tokens (NFTs) present novel and uncharted challenges for IPR systems.

Artificial Intelligence : AI-generated content—ranging from art and music to code and literature—raises complex questions about authorship and ownership. For example, if an AI system composes a piece of music, who holds the copyright: the AI's developer, the user who prompted it, or the AI system itself? Current IPR laws, which are grounded in human authorship, are ill-equipped to address such scenarios.

Blockchain and NFTs : While blockchain offers potential solutions for secure and transparent tracking of IP ownership and licensing, it also disrupts conventional licensing practices. NFTs, in particular, create a new form of digital ownership that may or may not be aligned with traditional copyright laws. There have already been cases of NFT creators "minting" digital art they did not originally own, highlighting gaps in the regulation of digital assets.

Emerging technologies outpace legislative reform, creating grey areas and legal ambiguities that both creators and users must navigate.

4.4 Balancing Access and Protection

A key philosophical and policy dilemma in the digital economy is striking a balance between protecting the rights of creators and ensuring equitable access to information, knowledge, and cultural resources. Overly rigid IPR enforcement may stifle creativity, inhibit educational access, and limit the availability of essential information—particularly in low-income and developing regions.

For example, academic publishing often involves paywalled journals and restricted licensing, limiting access to research for scholars and students who cannot afford subscription fees. Similarly, excessive copyright restrictions can hinder the creation of derivative works, mashups, or educational content that rely on fair use principles.

Policymakers face growing pressure to reform IPR frameworks in ways that support innovation without undermining public access. Initiatives such as open-source software, Creative Commons licensing, and the Open Access movement in academia exemplify efforts to achieve this balance.

5. International Perspectives and Legal Frameworks

As the digital economy transcends national borders, the protection and enforcement of Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs) demand international coordination and consistency. Various global institutions and legal instruments aim to create a harmonized framework for IPR governance, ensuring that creators and innovators are protected worldwide, while also considering the developmental needs of less-advanced economies.

5.1 Role of Key International Organizations

Two of the most prominent organizations in global IPR regulation are the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) and the World Trade Organization (WTO) .

WIPO , a specialized agency of the United Nations, is dedicated to promoting the protection of intellectual property globally. It facilitates international cooperation through treaties such as the Patent

Cooperation Treaty (PCT) and the Madrid System for trademarks , offering simplified procedures for cross-border protection. WIPO also provides technical assistance and capacity-building programs, especially for developing countries.

The WTO , through its Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS), establishes a baseline of enforceable IPR standards among its 160+ member states. TRIPS is widely considered the most comprehensive and enforceable international IP agreement.

5.2 The TRIPS Agreement: A Foundational Framework

The TRIPS Agreement , signed in 1995, is a cornerstone of global IP governance. It outlines minimum standards for the protection and enforcement of various IPR categories, including copyrights, patents, trademarks, geographical indications, and trade secrets.

Key features of TRIPS include:

National Treatment and Most-Favored-Nation (MFN) Principles : Ensures that member states treat foreign IP holders at least as favorably as domestic ones and extend the same treatment to all WTO members.

Enforcement Provisions : Requires members to provide civil and criminal procedures for addressing IP infringement, including border measures.

Flexibilities : Allows countries to issue compulsory licenses (e.g., for pharmaceuticals) and interpret certain provisions based on national priorities, which is particularly significant for public health and development goals.

5.3 Bilateral and Regional Trade Agreements

Beyond multilateral treaties, many countries engage in bilateral and regional trade agreements (RTAs) that include IP chapters. Examples include the Comprehensive and Progressive Agreement for Trans-Pacific Partnership (CPTPP) and the European Union Free Trade Agreements .

These agreements often go beyond TRIPS requirements, a phenomenon referred to as "TRIPS-plus" standards. They may demand longer patent terms, more rigorous copyright enforcement, or stricter limitations on data use. While such measures benefit rights holders, they can place burdens on developing countries by restricting their policy space and access to essential technologies.

5.4 Global South Perspectives and Developmental Tensions

Countries in the Global South —such as those in Africa, South Asia, and parts of Latin America—often approach international IP norms with caution. Their concerns include:

Technology Transfer : Rigid IP protections can hinder access to critical technologies in areas like agriculture, health, and clean energy.

Access to Medicines : High costs of patented drugs limit access for low-income populations. The 2001 Doha Declaration clarified that TRIPS should not prevent members from protecting public health.

Cultural and Traditional Knowledge : Many indigenous innovations and cultural expressions do not fit neatly into Western IP models and are often left unprotected.

In response, these countries advocate for a more flexible and development-oriented IP regime —one that recognizes the need for knowledge sharing, equitable access, and local capacity building.

This elaboration strengthens your section by integrating legal specifics, historical context, and the geopolitical dynamics of global IPR. Let me know if you'd like a visual (chart or map) or case example (e.g., India's use of compulsory licensing) added to support this.

6. Innovations in IPR Enforcement and Management

As the digital economy accelerates, traditional enforcement mechanisms for Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs) are increasingly inadequate to address the scale, speed, and complexity of infringement. Fortunately, emerging technologies—especially those driven by the Fourth Industrial Revolution—are

transforming how IPRs are protected, managed, and enforced. These innovations not only bolster legal compliance but also streamline administrative processes and lower the costs associated with litigation and monitoring.

6.1 Blockchain Technology

Blockchain, a decentralized and tamper-proof digital ledger system, is revolutionizing the way intellectual property is recorded and managed. Through the use of immutable records and timestamped entries, blockchain enables creators to establish verifiable ownership of their digital assets at the moment of creation. This is particularly beneficial in combating disputes over authorship or originality. Additionally, blockchain platforms can support transparent licensing systems where users can view the terms of use, access rights, and transaction history, thereby reducing infringement and fostering trust among creators, users, and licensees.

Example: Platforms like Ascribe and Po.et use blockchain to track ownership and licensing of digital art, music, and writing, ensuring proper attribution and royalties.

6.2 Artificial Intelligence (AI) Algorithms

AI-powered tools are increasingly being deployed to identify copyright and trademark violations across massive datasets, including websites, social media platforms, and e-commerce marketplaces. Machine learning algorithms can automatically scan for pirated content, counterfeit products, and unauthorized logo usage, offering real-time detection and faster response than traditional human-driven monitoring systems.

Example: YouTube's Content ID system uses AI to match uploaded videos with copyrighted material, allowing rights holders to monetize, block, or track unauthorized content.

6.3 Smart Contracts

Smart contracts are self-executing agreements written in code and stored on blockchain platforms. They automatically enforce licensing terms, royalty payments, and usage conditions without the need for intermediaries. This innovation is particularly valuable in music, software, and publishing industries where creators can program how, when, and under what conditions their work is used—and be compensated accordingly.

Example: A musician might use a smart contract to license a song for commercial use, which triggers instant payment each time the song is downloaded or streamed.

6.4 Digital Watermarking and Fingerprinting

Digital watermarking embeds invisible, indelible identifiers within digital content—such as images, videos, or documents—to track unauthorized usage and prove ownership. Unlike visible watermarks, these are difficult to remove and do not affect user experience. Combined with digital fingerprinting, which creates unique hashes for content, this technology allows for robust monitoring of content across platforms.

6.5 Collective Impact

Together, these technologies provide a robust, scalable, and cost-effective ecosystem for IPR enforcement. They:

- Reduce legal ambiguity by providing irrefutable proof of ownership.
- Enable proactive enforcement by automating detection and takedown processes.
- Enhance transparency in licensing and royalty distribution.
- Lower administrative costs by minimizing reliance on legal intermediaries.

In essence, technological innovations are not merely tools for enforcement—they are strategic enablers of a fairer, more efficient digital economy. However, their effectiveness hinges on complementary legal reforms and global cooperation to harmonize digital IPR standards.

7. Recommendations and Future Directions

As the digital economy grows in complexity and scale, existing Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) frameworks must evolve to remain relevant, equitable, and effective. The following recommendations offer a roadmap for reforming the IPR ecosystem to ensure it supports both innovation and inclusivity.

7.1 Legal Reform

The rapid emergence of technologies such as artificial intelligence, blockchain, 3D printing, and big data has outpaced traditional legal frameworks. Current IPR laws—many of which were designed in the pre-digital era—are often ill-equipped to address issues like algorithmic authorship, decentralized ownership, and instantaneous global distribution of content.

To meet these challenges, governments should:

Modernize copyright, patent, and trademark laws to reflect digital realities.

Introduce flexible licensing regimes for digital creators.

Incorporate provisions for AI-generated works, digital collectibles (NFTs), and open-source software models.

Harmonize national laws with international best practices to reduce legal fragmentation and improve enforcement capabilities across borders.

By aligning legal standards with technological advancements, legal reform can enhance innovation while minimizing legal ambiguities that discourage investment.

7.2 Capacity Building

Effective enforcement and interpretation of IPR laws depend heavily on the capabilities of legal and regulatory institutions. In many countries—particularly in developing economies—judges, lawyers, entrepreneurs, and law enforcement agencies may lack the specialized knowledge needed to handle complex digital IPR cases.

To bridge this gap:

Judicial and legal education programs should include modules on digital copyright, patent analytics, and cyber IP crimes.

Governments and legal associations should conduct regular workshops and online training sessions focused on emerging IPR issues.

Entrepreneurs and startups should be provided access to IPR advisory services and toolkits that help them navigate digital ownership, licensing, and infringement risks.

Building this knowledge base is essential for ensuring that IPR laws are not only well-written but also properly enforced and understood.

7.3 Public Awareness

A well-functioning IPR system depends on the informed participation of its stakeholders, including creators, consumers, educators, and students. Unfortunately, awareness about digital rights and responsibilities remains low in many communities.

Governments, academic institutions, and civil society organizations should:

Launch public education campaigns to explain the benefits and limitations of IPRs in the digital world.

Promote digital literacy initiatives that include IPR awareness.

Develop school and university curricula that incorporate practical knowledge of copyright, plagiarism, creative commons licensing, and digital entrepreneurship.

When the public understands their rights and obligations, the risk of unintentional infringement drops and compliance improves, fostering a culture of respect for innovation and creativity.

7.4 International Collaboration

igital content and services transcend borders, but IPR enforcement remains largely territorial. This mismatch leads to enforcement challenges, forum shopping, and jurisdictional uncertainty—particularly for global e-commerce, streaming platforms, and software-as-a-service models.

To overcome these barriers, international cooperation is crucial:

Countries should strengthen collaboration through existing multilateral platforms like the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO), WTO's TRIPS Council, and regional trade agreements.

Joint enforcement mechanisms, such as cross-border digital piracy crackdowns and shared IP databases, should be developed.

An international digital IP dispute resolution mechanism could be created to handle transnational conflicts more efficiently.

Through coordinated action, countries can create a harmonized and predictable IPR environment that supports global innovation without compromising local sovereignty.

8. Conclusion

In today's digital era, Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs) have transcended their traditional function as legal safeguards—they have become strategic economic instruments that shape national competitiveness, industry leadership, and innovation ecosystems. As the world becomes increasingly interconnected and reliant on digital technologies, the value of intangible assets like algorithms, software, data, and digital content continues to surge. This transition places IPRs at the core of the digital economy, acting as both a catalyst for creativity and a mechanism for commercial value extraction.

However, this evolving landscape is also marked by unprecedented complexities and challenges . The rapid pace of innovation, the global nature of digital platforms, and the rise of decentralized technologies such as blockchain and artificial intelligence have exposed the limitations of conventional IPR frameworks. Issues such as cross-border infringement, digital piracy, and the unclear ownership of AI-generated content call for urgent legal reform and regulatory clarity .

To navigate these challenges effectively, a multi-pronged approach is essential. Policymakers must develop adaptive, forward-thinking legal systems that strike a careful balance between protecting the rights of creators and ensuring public access to knowledge and innovation . International cooperation must be deepened through harmonized frameworks and global treaties that account for the borderless nature of digital content. Furthermore, technological integration —such as AI-powered enforcement tools, blockchain-based copyright registries, and smart contracts—can enhance the efficiency, transparency, and accessibility of IP governance.

Ultimately, safeguarding intellectual property in the digital age is not merely a legal necessity ; it is a strategic imperative for fostering sustainable economic growth, promoting equitable access to innovation, and building trust in the digital marketplace. A robust yet agile IPR system will not only empower creators and innovators but also ensure that the digital economy remains inclusive, dynamic, and globally competitive for generations to come.

References

1. Baldwin, R., & Evenett, S. J. (2020). *COVID-19 and Trade Policy: Why Turning Inward Won't Work*. Centre for Economic Policy Research Press.
2. https://voxeu.org/content/covid-19-and-trade-policy
3. Benhamou, S., & Sagot-Duvauroux, D. (2020). Intellectual property in the digital age. In Ginsburgh, V., & Throsby, D. (Eds.), *Handbook of the Economics of Art and Culture* (Vol. 2, pp. 523–553). Elsevier.

4. Chen, W., & Puttitanun, T. (2005). Intellectual property rights and innovation in developing countries. *Journal of Development Economics*, 78(2), 474–493. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdeveco.2004.11.005
5. Gervais, D. J. (2021). The machine as author. *Iowa Law Review*, 105(5), 2053–2100.
6. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3359524
7. Goldstein, P., & Hugenholtz, B. (2019). *International Copyright: Principles, Law, and Practice* (4th ed.). Oxford University Press.
8. Maskus, K. E. (2000). *Intellectual Property Rights in the Global Economy*. Institute for International Economics.
9. https://www.piie.com/bookstore/intellectual-property-rights-global-economy
10. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2021). *Intellectual Property and Innovation in the Digital Economy*. OECD Publishing.
11. https://www.oecd.org/sti/intellectual-property-and-innovation.htm
12. Reichman, J. H., & Dreyfuss, R. C. (2007). Harmonization without consensus: Critical reflections on drafting a substantive patent law treaty. *Duke Law Journal*, 57(1), 85–130.
13. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.986286
14. Samuelson, P. (2000). Intellectual property and the digital economy: Why the anti-circumvention regulations need to be revised. *Berkeley Technology Law Journal*, 14(2), 519–566.
15. WIPO. (2022). *World Intellectual Property Report 2022: The Direction of Innovation*. World Intellectual Property Organization.
16. https://www.wipo.int/publications/en/details.jsp?id=4570